



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/630,446

07/29/2003

Navin Vaya

1296-015

7784

47888 7590 12/08/2008  
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.  
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

EXAMINER

MERCIER, MELISSA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/08/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/630,446	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MELISSA S. MERCIER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 11-15, 18-27, 30-33, 36-39, 43, 45-47 and 50-74 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-31, 73-74 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 11-15, 18-27, 32, 33, 36-39, 43, 45-47 and 50-72 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Summary**

Receipt of Applicants Remarks and Amended Claims filed on August 25, 2008 is acknowledged. Claims 1-7, 11-15, 18-27, 30-33, 36-39, 43, 45-47, 50-74 remain pending in this application. Claims 30-31 and 73-74 remain withdrawn as reading on non elected species. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 11-15, 18-27, 32-33, 36-39, 43, 45-47, and 50-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 11, 18-19, 33, 43, and 50-51, it is unclear what the units of measure for ratios presented are in. The examiner has interpreted the ratios to be weight ratios.

Regarding claims 5, 7, 13, 37, 39, it is unclear what Applicant is claiming by ammonio methacrylate copolymers type A and B as described in the USP and methacrylic acid copolymer type A, B, and C, as described in the USP. The claims

Art Unit: 1615

must be presented to define the invention within the metes and bounds of the specification. The citation to outside sources is outside the specification.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-7, 11-15, 18-27, 32-33, 36-39, 43, 45-47, and 50-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timmins et al. (US Patent 6,660,300) in view of Boswell (US Patent 3,048,526).

Timmins discloses a controlled release delivery system for pharmaceuticals which have high water solubility, such as antidiabetic metformin HCl salt. The delivery system includes (1) an inner solid particulate phase formed of substantially uniform granules containing a pharmaceutical having a high water solubility, and one or more hydrophilic polymers, one or more hydrophobic polymers and/or one or more hydrophobic materials such as one or more waxes, fatty alcohols and/or fatty acid esters, and (2) an outer solid continuous phase in which the above granules of inner solid particulate phase are embedded and dispersed throughout, the outer solid continuous phase including one or more hydrophobic polymers, one or more hydrophobic polymers and/or one or more hydrophobic materials such as one or more waxes, fatty alcohols and/or fatty acid esters, which may be compressed into tablets (abstract). Timmins discloses high water solubility to be solubility in water at ambient temperature of at least about 50mg/mL water (column 9, lines 40-46).

The inner solid particulate phase may comprise 10-98% drug (column 9, lines 59-61). The extended release material in the form of hydrophobic polymers and/or other hydrophobic materials is in the range of about 5-95% by weight, based on the weight of the inner solid particulate phase (column 9, lines 62-67), which reads on the claimed weight ratio of drug: polymer particles of 100:2.5 to 100:30 as recited in the instant claims.

The inner solid particulate phase is in a weight ratio to the outer solid continuous phase is within the range of 0.5:1 to 4:1 (column 9, lines 54-58), which reads on the claimed weight ratio of particles: coating of 100:2.5 to 100:30 as recited in the instant claims.

The metformin may be used in combination with another anti-hyperglycemic and/or hypolipidemic agent within the same dosage unit in a weight ratio from about 0.01:1 to about 300:1 (column 12, lines 24-32).

Regarding claims 22, 53-55, and 68-69, Suitable additional anti-hyperglycemic drugs include glyburide, glimepiride, glipizide, gliclazide, chlorpropamide, acarbose, miglitol, or thiazolidinediones including rosiglitazone, for example (column 12, line 47-column 13, line 44).

Regarding claims 4-6, 12-13, 36-39, and 45-47, hydrophobic polymers which may be employed in the inner solid particulate phase and/or outer solid continuous phase include, but are not limited to ethyl cellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, ammonio methacrylate copolymer, methacrylic acid copolymers, methacrylic acid-acrylic acid ethyl ester copolymer, methacrylic acid esters neutral copolymer,

Art Unit: 1615

dimethylaminoethylmethacrylate-methacrylic acid esters copolymer, vinyl methyl ether/maleic anhydride copolymers, their salts and esters (column 10, lines 44-55).

Regarding claims 14-15, and 46-47, other hydrophobic materials which may be employed in the inner solid particulate phase and/or outer solid continuous phase include, but are not limited to waxes such as beeswax, carnauba wax, microcrystalline wax, and ozokerite; fatty alcohols such as cetostearyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol; cetyl alcohol and myristyl alcohol; and fatty acid esters such as glyceryl monostearate, glycerol monooleate, acetylated monoglycerides, tristearin, tripalmitin, cetyl esters wax, glyceryl palmitostearate, glyceryl behenate, and hydrogenated castor oil (column 10, lines 56-65).

Regarding claims 23-25, 56-61, highly water soluble drugs, such as metformin, will be employed in a dosage range of 150-3000mg on a regimen in single daily doses or 2-4 divided daily doses, 1-4 times a day (column 20, lines 21-28).

Applicants attention is drawn to the table on the top of column 21 and Examples 1-4, which discloses 28-39% released at 1 hour, and between 75.7 through 93.1 at 6hrs (columns 21-23: Examples 1-4). Since the prior art discloses the same composition as the instant claims, it is the position of the examiner that it would possess the same functional properties at the instant claims, with regard to plasma concentrations.

Timmins does not disclose the top surface of the tablet not being covered by the outer portion.

Boswell disclosed medicinal tablet preparation containing substantially segregated quantities of the same or different ingredients (column 1, lines 7-10). Inlay tablets are disclosed (column 2, line 62-column 3, line 68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Timmins with the tablet of Boswell in order to provide sustained-release of an active pharmaceutical with immediate release of another or the same active pharmaceutical.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have optimized the ratio of particles to coating in order to alter the drug release profile. Timmins discloses, in a controlled release dosage form, the formulator tries to reduce the rate of dissolution by, for example, embedding the drug in a polymeric matrix or surrounding it with a polymeric barrier membrane through which drug must diffuse to be released for absorption. To reduce the rate of release of drug from the dosage form to an appropriate level consistent with the blood level profile desired for a drug possessing very high water solubility, very large amounts of polymer would be required for the matrix or barrier membrane. If the total daily dose of drug to be delivered is of the order of only a few milligrams this may be feasible, but many drugs having the solubility properties described require total daily doses of the order of many hundreds of milligrams. Whilst it is possible to create oral controlled release dosage forms for such products by use of large amounts of polymer, an unacceptably large dosage form may result (column 2, lines 16-33).

Applicant is reminded that where the general conditions of the claims are met, burden is shifted to applicant to provide a patentable distinction. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454 105 USPQ 233,235 (CCPA 1955). The optimization of the polymer coating would be a rate limiting/controlling variable.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, filed August 25, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) under 35 USC 103, over Paradissis in view of Glassman, and further in view of Webb have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Timmins in view of Boswell.

### ***Conclusion***

Due to the new grounds of rejection presented in this office action, this action is made Non-Final. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA S. MERCIER whose telephone number is (571)272-9039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Mon through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone



Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melissa S Mercier/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/MP WOODWARD/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615